1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		RE THE OARD OF OPTOMETRY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMED AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF C	ZALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 420 2020 000172
12	Against:	OAH No. 2021040165
13 14	MYRA BECERRA 11210 Howard Street Whittier, CA 90606	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
15	Registered Spectacle Lens Dispenser Applicant	[Gov. Code, § 11520]
16		
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	EINDING	S OF FACT
20		S OF FACT
21	•	plainant Shara Murphy, in her official capacity
22	as the Executive Officer of the Registered Disper	
23	Consumer Affairs, filed Statement of Issues No.	
24	(Respondent) before the California State Board of	
25	_	California State Board of Optometry (Board)
26	received an application for registration as a Regis	stered Spectacle Lens Dispenser from Myra
27	Becerra (Respondent).	
28	///	
		1

3. On or abou	at September 18, 2019, Myra Becerra certified under penalty of perjury to
the truthfulness of all s	tatements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board
denied the application	on April 9, 2020. On or about April 13, 2020, Respondent appealed the
Board's denial of her a	pplication and requested a hearing.

- 4. On or about March 3, 2021, an employee of the Department of Justice served Respondent by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of Statement of Issues No. 420 2020 000172, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, and Request for Discovery at Respondent's address on the application form, which was and is 11210 Howard Street Whittier, CA 90606. A copy of the Statement of Issues is attached as exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 6. On or about July 11, 2021, Respondent withdrew her request for a hearing. The withdrawal of request for a hearing is attached as exhibit B.
  - 7. Government Code section 11506, subdivision (c), states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent . . . .
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing based upon the allegation set forth in the Statement of Issues and Respondent's failure to establish entitlement to issuance of a registration.

///

///

## Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 420 2020 000172

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
2	CARL W. SONNE	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General SHAWN P. COOK	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 117851	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6291 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	DEFOR	הווים הו
l	BEFOR CALIFORNIA STATE BO	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF C.	
10	STATE OF C.	ALII OR WI
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 420 2020 000172
12	Against:	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	MYRA BECERRA	
14	Registered Spectacle Lens Dispenser Applicant	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17.	PART	<u> FIES</u>
18	Shara Murphy (Complainant) brings t	his Statement of Issues solely in her official
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	Optometry, Department of Consumer Affairs.
20	2. On or about September 18, 2019, the	California State Board of Optometry (Board)
21	received an application for a Registered Spectacle	Lens Dispenser from Myra Becerra
22	(Respondent). On or about September 18, 2019, l	Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to
23	the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and rep	presentations in the application. The Board
- 1	denied the application on April 9, 2020.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

11

12

13 14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

#### **JURISDICTION**

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 475 of the Code states:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
- (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
  - (2) Conviction of a crime.
- (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
- (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
- 5. Section 480 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has been convicted of a crime or has been subject to formal discipline only if either of the following conditions are met:
- (1) The applicant has been convicted of a crime within the preceding seven years from the date of application that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the application is made, regardless of whether the applicant was incarcerated for that crime, or the applicant has been convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the application is made and for which the applicant is presently incarcerated or for which the applicant was released from incarceration within the preceding seven years from the date of application. However, the preceding seven-year limitation shall not apply in either of the following situations:

- (A) The applicant was convicted of a serious felony, as defined in Section 1192.7 of the Penal Code or a crime for which registration is required pursuant to paragraph (2) or (3) of subdivision (d) of Section 290 of the Penal Code.
- (B) The applicant was convicted of a financial crime currently classified as a felony that is directly and adversely related to the fiduciary qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the application is made, pursuant to regulations adopted by the board, and for which the applicant is seeking licensure under any of the following:
  - (i) Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 6500) of Division 3.
  - (ii) Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 7000) of Division 3.
  - (iii) Chapter 11.3 (commencing with Section 7512) of Division 3.
- (iv) Licensure as a funeral director or cemetery manager under Chapter 12 (commencing with Section 7600) of Division 3.
  - (v) Division 4 (commencing with Section 10000).
- (2) The applicant has been subjected to formal discipline by a licensing board in or outside California within the preceding seven years from the date of application based on professional misconduct that would have been cause for discipline before the board for which the present application is made and that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the present application is made. However, prior disciplinary action by a licensing board within the preceding seven years shall not be the basis for denial of a license if the basis for that disciplinary action was a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, 1203.41, 1203.42, or 1203.425 of the Penal Code or a comparable dismissal or expungement.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license on the basis that the person has been convicted of a crime, or on the basis of acts underlying a conviction for a crime, if that person has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code, has been granted elemency or a pardon by a state or federal executive, or has made a showing of rehabilitation pursuant to Section 482.
- (c) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license on the basis of any conviction, or on the basis of the acts underlying the conviction, that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, 1203.41, 1203.42, or 1203.425 of the Penal Code, or a comparable dismissal or expungement. An applicant who has a conviction that has been dismissed pursuant to Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, 1203.41, or 1203.42 of the Penal Code shall provide proof of the dismissal if it is not reflected on the report furnished by the Department of Justice.
- (d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a board shall not deny a license on the basis of an arrest that resulted in a disposition other than a conviction, including an arrest that resulted in an infraction, citation, or a juvenile adjudication.
- 6. Section 490 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has

be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a dispensing optician if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a dispensing optician to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.271 states:

When considering the denial of a registration under Section 480 of the code, or a petition for reinstatement under Section 11522 of the code, the division in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his or her present eligibility for registration, shall consider the following criteria:

- (a) The nature and severity of the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- (b) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial which also could be considered as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (c) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (a) or (b).
- (d) In the case of a denial or revocation based upon the conviction of a crime, the criteria set forth in Section 1399.272.
  - (e) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

## (October 26, 2016 Criminal Conviction – Identity Theft on March 29, 2016)

11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a dispensing optician. Specifically, on October 26, 2016, Respondent was convicted of violating one misdemeanor count of Penal Code section 530.5, subdivision (a) [identity theft] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Myra Lorraine Becerra* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2016, No. 6BL07369). The court placed Respondent on 36 months of

· ||

probation, ordered her to pay fines and restitution, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 29, 2016, Respondent willfully and unlawfully obtained personal identifying information of M.G. and used that information for an unlawful purpose and to obtain, an attempt obtain credit, goods, services, real property, and medical information without the consent of M.G.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(May 15, 2013 Criminal Conviction – Petty Theft on February 12, 2013)

12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a dispensing optician. Specifically, on May 15, 2013, Respondent was convicted of violating one misdemeanor count of Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [petty theft] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Myra Lorraine Becerra* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2013, No. 3JB02709). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 1 day in jail and placed on 3 years of probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 12, 2013, Respondent unlawfully stole, took, and carried away the personal property of another, to wit: FRY'S.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

- 13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate would be grounds for suspension or revocation of her license, as follows:
- a. Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a dispensing optician which to a substantial degree evidences her present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by her license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of section 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.270. Complainant, refers to, and by this reference

1	incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 10 and 11, inclusive, as though set
2	forth fully.
3	PRAYER
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
5	and that following the hearing, the California State Board of Optometry issue a decision:
6	1. Denying the application of Myra Becerra for a Registered Spectacle Lens Dispenser;
7	and
8	2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
9	
10	DATED: 26 FEB 2021
11	SHARA MURPHY Executive Officer
12	Board of Optometry Department of Consumer Affairs
13	State of California  Complainant
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	a a
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	LA2020602528 63730464.docx
25	Jz(2/24/21)
26	
27	
28	
- 1	

## Exhibit B

Respondent's Withdrawal of Request for a Hearing

## BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 420 2020 000172
MYRA BECERRA  Respondent.	RESPONDENT / APPLICANT'S NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF REQUEST FOR HEARING

In accordance with California Code of Regulation, title 1, section 1014(c), a party withdrawing a request for hearing, shall immediately notify the Office of Administrative Hearings and all parties. I understand that a hearing will be held upon the charges made in the Statement of Issues unless I notify the California State Board of Optometry that I do not want the hearing previously requested on the denial of my Registered Spectacle Lens Dispenser application.

IF YOU WISH TO WITHDRAW YOUR NOTICE OF DEFENSE OR REQUEST FOR A HEARING, PLEASE DO SO BY DATING AND SIGNING BELOW AND DELIVERING OR MAILING THIS FORM TO:

Shawn P. Cook
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
Ronald Reagan Building
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013

### TO THE REGISTERED DISPENSING OPTICIAN PROGRAM:

I, the undersigned Respondent in this proceeding, hereby acknowledge receipt of a copy of the Statement of Issues; the Statement to Respondent; Respondent/Applicant's Notice of Designation of Counsel (2 copies); Respondent/Applicant's Notice of Withdrawal of Request for Hearing (2 copies); Request for Discovery; and Discovery Statutes.

I withdraw my Notice of Defense.	Robert M. Beggs
7/6/2021	70
Date	Signature

ROBERT M BEGGS, ESQ. ATTORNEY FOR MYRA BECERRA
Print Name

LA2020602528/64021332.DOCX

# BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of	the	Statement	of	Issues
Against:				

Case No. 420 2020 000172

**MYRA BECERRA** 

Respondent.

RESPONDENT / APPLICANT'S NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF REQUEST FOR HEARING

In accordance with California Code of Regulation, title 1, section 1014(c), a party withdrawing a request for hearing, shall immediately notify the Office of Administrative Hearings and all parties. I understand that a hearing will be held upon the charges made in the Statement of Issues unless I notify the California State Board of Optometry that I do not want the hearing previously requested on the denial of my Registered Spectacle Lens Dispenser application.

IF YOU WISH TO WITHDRAW YOUR NOTICE OF DEFENSE OR REQUEST FOR A HEARING, PLEASE DO SO BY DATING AND SIGNING BELOW AND DELIVERING OR MAILING THIS FORM TO:

Shawn P. Cook
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
Ronald Reagan Building
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013

### TO THE REGISTERED DISPENSING OPTICIAN PROGRAM:

I, the undersigned Respondent in this proceeding, hereby acknowledge receipt of a copy of the Statement of Issues; the Statement to Respondent; Respondent/Applicant's Notice of Designation of Counsel (2 copies); Respondent/Applicant's Notice of Withdrawal of Request for Hearing (2 copies); Request for Discovery; and Discovery Statutes.

I withdraw my Notice of Defense.	$\wedge$
7/6/2021	Mara Brooms
Date	Signature
	MYRA BECERRA
	Print Name

LA2020602528/64021332.DOCX